# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Applicant's or agent's file reference cf. Form PCT/ISA/220  Applicant's or agent's file reference cf. Form PCT/ISA/220  International application No. PCT/EP2004/052768  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classifica	11/28/2003 tion and IPC
Applicant's or agent's file reference cf. Form PCT/ISA/220  International application No. PCT/EP2004/052768  International filing date 11/03/2004	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)  Date of mailing (day/month/year) cf. Form PCT/ISA/220  FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below  (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 11/28/2003
cf. Form PCT/ISA/220 International application No.	(day/month/year) cf. Form PCT/ISA/220  FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below  (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 11/28/2003
cf. Form PCT/ISA/220 International application No. International filing date PCT/EP2004/052768 11/03/2004	See paragraph 2 below  (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)  11/28/2003  tion and IPC
PCT/EP2004/052768 11/03/2004	11/28/2003 tion and IPC
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classifica	
F02M61/14, F02M55/00, F02M61/16, F02M61/08, F0  Applicant  ROBERT BOSCH GMBH	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a citations and explanations supporting su  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international appli  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internationa  FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is ma International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") exce	rd to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ch statement  cation  I application  de, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the pt that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority
opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written	opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
Name and mailing address of the ISA/  Facsimile No.	Authorized officer Nobre, S

# **3EST AVAILABLE COPY**

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/052768

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
which it w	and to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language  which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under ules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the execution, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  If material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. forma	t of material in written format in computer readable form
c. time o	of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed.  filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
file	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been ed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional	l comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/052768

Box No.	II Priority
1.	The following document has not yet been furnished:  copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).  translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).  Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Addit	ional observations, if necessary:
It wante inte This	as impossible to check the validity of the priority claim since, at the time of the search, the mational search authority had not been provided with a copy of the priority document (Rule 17.1). So Action was thus preprared under the assumption that the date relevant for the examination is the med priority date.
	•

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/052768

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	13	YE
• . ,	Claims	1-12	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YE
,	Claims	1-13	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-13	YE
muusutat appiteatiinty (124)	Claims		NO NO

cf. supplementary page